IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,)
Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendary.	nt,) CIVIL NO. SX-12-CV-370
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATIO) ACTION FOR INJUNCTIVE N,) RELIEF, DECLARATORY) JUDGMENT, AND
Defendants/Counterclaimants, v.) PARTNERSHIP DISSOLUTION,) WIND UP, AND ACCOUNTING
WALEED HAMED, WAHEED HAMED, and PLESSEN ENTERPRISES, INC., Additional Counterclaim Defendants.))) Consolidated With
WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,)
Plaintiff, v.) CIVIL NO. SX-14-CV-287) ACTION FOR DAMAGES AND
UNITED CORPORATION,) DECLARATORY JUDGMENT)
Defendan	ıt.
WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,) CIVIL NO. SX-14-CV-278
Plaintiff, v.) ACTION FOR DEBT AND) CONVERSION
FATHI YUSUF, Defendan)) ut.)
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION,))) CIVIL NO. ST-17-CV-384
Plaintiffs,)) ACTION TO SET ASIDE
v.) FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS)
THE ESTATE OF MOHAMMAD HAMED, Waleed Hamed as Executor of the Estate of Mohammad Hamed, and THE MOHAMMAD A. HAMED LIVING TR)) UST,)
Defendants.)

Second Supplemental Response to Hamed's Interrogatory No. 15, and Request for Production of Documents No.s 6 and 7 Waleed Hamed et al. vs. Fathi Yusuf et al.

Case No.: STX-2012-CV-370

Page 2

NOTICE OF SERVICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO HAMED'S DISCOVERY AS TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16 AND 41

Defendant/Counterclaimants Fathi Yusuf ("Yusuf") and United Corporation ("United")(collectively, the "Defendants") through their attorneys, Dudley Newman Feuerzeig, LLP, that Yusuf has provided their Supplemental Responses to Hamed's Interrogatory No. 16 and 41 to Counsel for Hamed via the CaseAnywhere filing system.

Respectfully submitted,

DUDLEY NEWMAN FEUERZEIG, LLP

DATED: June 15, 2020 By: /s/Charlotte K. Perrell

GREGORY H. HODGES (V.I. Bar No. 174) CHARLOTTE K. PERRELL (V.I. Bar No. 1281)

P.O. Box 756

St. Thomas, VI 00804-0756 The Tunick Building – Suite 101

St. Thomas, VI 00802

Telephone: (340) 774-4422 E-Mail: ghodges@dnfvi.com cperrell@dnfvi.com

Attorneys for Fathi Yusuf and United Corporation

Second Supplemental Response to Hamed's Interrogatory No. 15, and Request for Production of Documents No.s 6 and 7 Waleed Hamed et al. vs. Fathi Yusuf et al.

Case No.: STX-2012-CV-370

Page 3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is hereby certified that on this 15th day of June, 2020, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF SERVICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO HAMED'S DISCOVERY AS TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16 AND 41**, via email addressed to:

Joel H. Holt, Esq.

LAW OFFICES OF JOEL H. HOLT

Quinn House - Suite 2 2132 Company Street Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820 Carl J. Hartmann, III, Esq.

5000 Estate Coakley Bay - Unit L-6

Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

E-Mail: joelholtvi.plaza@gmail.com

Mark W. Eckard, Esq.

ECKARD, P.C. P.O. Box 24849

Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00824

E-Mail: carl@carlhartmann.com

Jeffrey B.C. Moorhead, Esq. **JEFFREY B.C. MOORHEAD, P.C.** C.R.T. Brow Building – Suite 3

1132 King Street

Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

E-Mail: mark@markeckard.com E-Mail: jeffreymlaw@yahoo.com

/s/Charlotte K. Perrell

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,)
Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendar	nt,) CIVIL NO. SX-12-CV-370
v. FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATIO) JUDGMENT, AND
Defendants/Counterclaimants, v.) PARTNERSHIP DISSOLUTION,) WIND UP, AND ACCOUNTING
WALEED HAMED, WAHEED HAMED, MUFEED HAMED, HISHAM HAMED, and PLESSEN ENTERPRISES, INC., Additional Counterclaim Defendants. WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the)))) Consolidated With)
Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,) CIVIL NO. SX-14-CV-287
Plaintiff, v.) ACTION FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
UNITED CORPORATION,)
WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the	<u>it.</u>)
Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,) CIVIL NO. SX-14-CV-278
Plaintiff, v.) ACTION FOR DEBT AND) CONVERSION
FATHI YUSUF,)
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION,)))
Plaintiffs,) CIVIL NO. ST-17-CV-384
v.) ACTION TO SET ASIDE) FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS
THE ESTATE OF MOHAMMAD HAMED, Waleed Hamed as Executor of the Estate of Mohammad Hamed, and THE MOHAMMAD A. HAMED LIVING TR))) UST,)
Defendants.))

Supplemental Response to Hamed's Discovery Waleed Hamed et al. vs. Fathi Yusuf et al.

Case No.: STX-2012-CV-370

Page 2

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO HAMED'S DISCOVERY

Defendant/Counterclaimants Fathi Yusuf ("Yusuf") and United Corporation ("United")(collectively, the "Defendants") through their attorneys, Dudley, Topper and Feuerzeig, LLP, hereby provide their Supplemental Responses to Hamed's as follows:

Interrogatory No. 16 – Relating to Gross Receipt Taxes for United Shopping Center after September 2012.

Subsequent to Hamed's service of this interrogatory, depositions were taken as to United's claim Y-5 –Gross Receipts Taxes Owed to United and H-150 and H-160– Gross Receipts Taxes paid by the Partnership for United Shopping Center. During the course of those depositions, Hamed inquired as to the agreement between Yusuf and Hamed for the payment of the gross receipts taxes ("GRTs") for United Shopping Center property (owed by United and the Yusuf family) by the Yusuf-Hamed Partnership (which operates the three grocery stores as Plaza Extra-East, Plaza Extra-West and Plaza Extra-Tutu). In response, Fathi Yusuf testified in detail and his testimony is responsive to this interrogatory. Specifically, Fathi Yusuf's Deposition testimony on January 21, 2020 is responsive.

During direct examination, Fathi Yusuf testified as to the agreement made between himself and Hamed from 1986 for the store (meaning the Plaza Extra grocery store) to pay the GRT's on the Shopping Center, as well as other expenses. *See* January 21, 2020 Depo. Fathi Yusuf at 9:4 thru 33:14. Specifically, Yusuf testified that Hamed "agreed to that, that all gross receipt will be paid by the store." *Id.* at 11:14-15. This was always the arrangement and Yusuf had no reason believe that it would not have continued. *Id.*

Further, Yusuf testified that this agreement was a condition of the partnership and the agreement to pay rent, and that Yusuf and his counsel are aware of no authority, and Hamed's counsel has offered none, for the proposition that the mere filing of a lawsuit operates to rescind any terms of these agreements. Moreover, merely filing a lawsuit does not by itself prove any of the claims asserted in the lawsuit and imposes no obligation on the part of a defendant to assume the allegations are true and the claims for relief are valid, and to adjust his or her behavior on that assumption. Here, the complaint filed by Hamed did not even assert that the practice of having shopping center GRTs paid from Plaza Extra accounts was impermissible or contrary to the parties' agreements. If the complaint had made that allegation, Yusuf would have regarded the allegation as false, and would not have acceded to it. Mr. Yusuf has testified that the GRT agreement was an integral part of his partnership agreement with Hamed that was formed around 1986. See Yusuf's April 2014 Deposition, p. 53 and Yusuf's January 21, 2020 Deposition, p. 9-

Supplemental Response to Hamed's Discovery Waleed Hamed et al. vs. Fathi Yusuf et al.

Case No.: STX-2012-CV-370

Page 3

10. The agreement to have the supermarkets pay United Shopping Center GRTs is just as much a part of the 1986 agreement as is the agreement to have the supermarkets pay United rent (an obligation which was enforced in Judge Brady's April 2015 order in an amount exceeding \$4,000,000, including amounts owed post-lawsuit.) Hamed's filing of the lawsuit in September 2012 no more should have caused United to excuse the partnership's obligation to pay GRTs than it should have caused United to forgive the rent owed to by the partnership.

As to conversations, Fathi Yusuf testified that all of the GRTs were paid from the St. Thomas store for a number of years as to all three of the stores and the Shopping Center GRTs. Nejeh Yusuf testified that he would typically pay it by going to IRB with a credit card and then getting reimbursed. At various points in time, Willie Hamed also signed checks for the collective GRTs without issue. Fathi Yusuf had no reason to believe that the filing of the lawsuit would change the agreement he reached with Hamed decades before. There was no specific conversation with Hamed relating to this agreement as to GRTs after the lawsuit was filed. However, it is also clear that even though suit was filed, this did not change the terms of the agreement. Moreover, the rental agreement remained and did not dissolve, simply because the suit was filed. Likewise, rent was due to United for the period after the lawsuit was filed and that term did not change.

In addition, United incorporates its Opposition Motion for Summary Judgment as to H-150 ("Hamed's Motion as to H-150 and 160") filed on June 11, 2020 as providing additional information responsive to Interrogatory Number 16 and the exhibits attached thereto.

2.Interrogatory No. 41– As amended by Master in March 19, 2020 Order.

In the March 19, 2020 Order, the Master limited the request to provide supplemental responses to Interrogatory No. 41 in connection with Yusuf Claim No. Y-5. Yusuf incorporates Supplemental Answers to Interrogatory 16 as responsive to this Interrogatory. Further, Yusuf incorporates his Motion for Summary Judgment as to Y-5 as responsive to this interrogatory.

Supplemental Response to Hamed's Discovery Waleed Hamed et al. vs. Fathi Yusuf et al. Case No.: STX-2012-CV-370

Page 4

DUDLEY, TOPPER AND FEUERZEIG, LLP

DATED: June 15, 2020 By: s/Charlotte K. Perrell

CHARLOTTE K. PERRELL

(V.I. Bar #1281)

Law House

1000 Frederiksberg Gade - P.O. Box 756

St. Thomas, VI 00804-0756
Telephone: (340) 715-4422
Facsimile: (340) 715-4400
E-Mail: cperrell@dtflaw.com

Attorneys for Fathi Yusuf and United Corporation

Supplemental Response to Hamed's Discovery Waleed Hamed et al. vs. Fathi Yusuf et al.

Case No.: STX-2012-CV-370

Page 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is hereby certified that on this 15th day of June, 2020, I caused the foregoing a true and exact copy of the foregoing **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO HAMED'S DISCOVERY** to be served upon the following via Case Anywhere docketing system:

Joel H. Holt, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF JOEL H. HOLT

2132 Company, V.I. 00820 Email: joelholtpc@gmail.com

Mark W. Eckard, Esq.

HAMM & ECKARD, LLP

5030 Anchor Way – Suite 13

Christiansted, St. Croix

U.S. Virgin Islands 00820-4692

E-Mail: mark@markeckard.com

Carl Hartmann, III, Esq. 5000 Estate Coakley Bay, #L-6 Christiansted, VI 00820 Email: carl@carlhartmann.com

Jeffrey B.C. Moorhead, Esq. C.R.T. Building 1132 King Street Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

E-Mail: jeffreymlaw@yahoo.com

s/Charlotte K. Perrell

R:\DOCS\6254\1\DRFTPLDG\17Q4050.DOCX